# A CONCISE DIGEST OF THE CASES

PUBLISHED IN THE CURRENT VOLUMES OF THE

# SOLICITORS' JOURNAL [42 S.J.] and WEEKLY REPORTER [46 W.R.]

FROM SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 5TH, TO SATURDAY, APRIL 30TH, 1898 (BOTH INCLUSIVE).

Ackerman v Lockhart, Hawkes, Re—APP. S.J.	381
Ajello v Worsley-CH.D. STIRLING, J.	248
Allhusen v Ealing and South Harrow	258
Railway Co.—GH.D. STIRLING, J. W.R. APP. S.J. Anderson v Manchester, &c., Railway Co.	388
Anderson v Manchester, &c., Railway Co.	396
Andrew v St. Olave's Board of Works	
Anglo-Continental Corporation of West	381
Australia, Re—ch.D. WRIGHT, JS.J. W.R.	270 413
Ashton, Re, Ingram v Papillon—APP. W.R.	231
Atkinson, Re, Waller v Atkinson—CH.D. STIRLING, JS.J. Attenborough, Ex parte, Cole, Re—BKOY.	414
W.R.	352
Attorney-General v Grey (Earl)—q.B.D. W.R.	251
Attorney-General v Tynemouth (Mayor)	363
—APP. S.J.  Badische Anilin Fabrik v Basle Chemical Works (Bindschedler)—H.LW.R.	255
	325
Barker, Re, Ravenshaw v Barker—OH.D. NORTH, J. W.R. Barnes v Youngs—CH.D. ROMER, JS.J.	296
Bartlett v Mayfair Property Co., Mayfair	332
Property Co., Re—APP. S.J. Bateman (Lady) v Faber—APP. W.B. Batt's Trade-Marks, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J.  S.J. Baxter v Leche—Q.B.D	430
Batt's Trade-Marks, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J.	215
Baxter v Leche—q.B.D	430
Baxter v Middleton—ch.D. Kekewich, J. S.J. 253; W.R. Beckwith, Ex parte, New British Iron Co., Re—ch.D. wright, J	350
Co., Re-CH.D. WRIGHT, J	376
BYRNE, JW.R.	375
Board of Trade, Ex parte, Hunt, Re—	450
Brandon v Hughes, Hughes, Re—ch.D.	384
BYRNS, J	412 342
Brooke and Fremlin's Contract, Re—CH.D. REKEWICH, J	431
Brune v James—Q.B.DW.R.	257
Buckwell v Norman APPW.R.	386
Buckwell v Norman—APP. S.J. W.R.	390
Butler, Re—Q.B.D. R.J.	416
Butler, Re—q.B.D. W.R. S.J. Cadell v Wilcocks—P.D. & AD.DW.R.	394

Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—CH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R.  Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J	Brown, Re, Union Bank of Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R. 248  Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  commissioners v London Cor-  yhite's Charities, Re—CH.D.  J	Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J. W.R. 2 Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 4	48
Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—CH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R.  Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J	Brown, Re, Union Bank of Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R. 248  Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  commissioners v London Cor-  yhite's Charities, Re—CH.D.  J	Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J. W.R. 2 Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 4	48
Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—CH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R.  Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J	Brown, Re, Union Bank of Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R. 248  Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  commissioners v London Cor-  yhite's Charities, Re—CH.D.  J	Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J. W.R. 2 Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 4	48
Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J.  W.R. Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D. S.J. Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J	Brown, Re, Union Bank of Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER.  W.R. 248  Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  S.J. 451  commissioners v London Cor-  , White's Charities, Re—CH.D.  J	Castell & Brown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte—OH.D. ROMER. J. W.R. 2 Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 4	48
Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D. S.J. Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J	Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.  S.J. 451 commissioners v London Cor- , White's Charities, Re—CH.D. J	Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—q.B.D. S.J. 4	51
Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—q.B.D. S.J. Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. "Chioggia," The—P.D. & AD.D. W.R. Clarke, Re—LUM. S.J. 324; W.R. Clifford v Thames Ironworks Co.—q.B.D. W.R. Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. S.J. W.R. Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. S.J. Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. Coppen v Moore—q.B.D. S.J. Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKOY. S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. W.R. Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 324; W.R. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D. STIRLING, J. W.R. Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP. S.J. 325; W.R. Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 325; W.R. Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. BYENRE, J. Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. BOMER, J. S.J. 260; W.R. Eccles v Mills—P.O. W.R. Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 261; W.R. Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 262; W.R. Eccles v Mills—P.O. W.R. Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 262; W.R. Electer v Higginbotham—q.B.D. S.J. Field Steamship Co. v Burr—q.B.D. S.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—AFF. S.J.	Dover Harbour Board—Q.B.D.    S.J. 451	Cessford v Dover Harbour Board—q.B.D. S.J. 4	51
Charity Commissioners v London Corporation, White's Charities, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J	ommissioners v London Cor- , White's Charities, Re—CH.D. J	S.J. 4	
poration, White's Charities, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J	White's Charities, Re—CH.D.   1.		29 53 37
ROMER, J.  "Chioggia," The—P.D. & AD.D. WR. Clarke, Re—LUM. S.J. 324; W.R. Clifford v Thames Ironworks Co.—Q.B.D. W.R. Colbeck v Ashford—Q.B.D. S.J. W.R. Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY. W.R. Coppen v Moore—Q.B.D. S.J. Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKCY. S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. W.R. Dartford Council v Bexley Heath Railway Co.—H.L. W.R. Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 252; W.R. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D. STIRLING, J. WR. Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP. S.J. 325; W.R. Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. B.J. 325; W.R. Dunmore v Wharam—CH.D. BYENR, J. B.J. 270; W.R. Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 269; W.R. Eccles v Mills—P.O. W.R. Ethrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—AFF. S.J.	A., The—P.D. & AD.D. WR. 233 P—LUNS.J. 324; W.R. 337 Thames Ironworks Co.—Q.B.D. W.R. 232 Ashford—Q.B.D. W.R. 302 Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY. W.R. 302 Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY. W.R. 352 Moore—Q.B.D. S.J. 397 Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. 223 Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKCY S.J. 291 V Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. OH. J. W.R. 231 Council v Bexley Heath Rail—H.L. W.R. 235 and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. 341 s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—KKEWICH, J. S.J. 252; W.R. 326 Sx parte, Reg. v Sharman—S.J. 326; W.R. 367 National Bank v Paul—CH.D. 3. J. W.R. 243 Guardians v St. Saviour's ns—APP. S.J. 325; W.R. 309 Iss Co., Re—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. S.J. 252; W.R. 309 Iss Co., Re—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. S.J. 252; W.R. 309 Iss Co., Re—CH.D. BYRKE, J. S.J. 270; W.R. 366 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J	poration. White's Charities. Re-CH.D.	29 53 37
Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. W.R. Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. S.J. W.R. Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY. W.R. Coppen v Moore—q.B.D. S.J. Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKCY. S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWIGH, J. W.R. Dartford Council v Bexley Heath Ruilway Co.—H.L. W.R. Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWIGH, J. S.J. 252; W.R. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D. STIRLING, J. W.R. Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP. S.J. 325; W.R. Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 325; W.R. Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 260; W.R. Ecoles v Mills—P.O. S.J. 269; W.R. Ethrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 269; W.R. Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 260, S.J. Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—APP. S.J.	Ashford—q.B.D. W.R. 302  Attenborough, Ex parte—BROY. W.R. 302  Moore—q.B.D. S.J. 397  Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. 231  Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. 223  Re, Waud, Ex parte—BROY S.J. 291  V Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. OH, J. W.R. 231  Council v Bexley Heath Rail—H.L. W.R. 235  and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. 341  s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—Exewich, J. S.J. 252; W.R. 326  Exewich, J. S.J. 252; W.R. 367  National Bank v Paul—CH.D. 3.J. W.R. 243  Guardians v St. Saviour's ns—APP. S.J. 326; W.R. 309  Is Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 325; W.R. 309  Is Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 326; W.R. 361  J. S.J. 270; W.R. 366  I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 309  Is S.J. 269; W.R. 300  Is Newspaper Co.—APP. S.J. 343  W.R. 369  Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359  adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415  Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR—	ROMER, J	37
Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. W.R. Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. S.J. W.R. Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY. W.R. Coppen v Moore—q.B.D. S.J. Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKCY. S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWIGH, J. W.R. Dartford Council v Bexley Heath Ruilway Co.—H.L. W.R. Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWIGH, J. S.J. 252; W.R. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D. STIRLING, J. W.R. Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP. S.J. 325; W.R. Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 325; W.R. Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 260; W.R. Ecoles v Mills—P.O. S.J. 269; W.R. Ethrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 269; W.R. Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 260, S.J. Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—APP. S.J.	Ashford—q.B.D. W.R. 302  Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY. W.R. 302  Moore—q.B.D. S.J. 397  Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. 223  Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKCY S.J. 291  v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. OH, J. W.R. 231  Council v Bexley Heath Rail—H.L. W.R. 235  and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. 341  s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—KKEWICH, J. S.J. 252; W.R. 326  ax parte, Reg. v Sharman—S.J. 326; W.R. 367  National Bank v Paul—CH.D. 3.1. W.R. 243  Guardians v St. Saviour's ns—APP. S.J. 326; W.R. 309  tas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 325; W.R. 309  tas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 326; W.R. 300  I. J. S.J. 252; W.R. 300  tas Co., Re—CH.D. WEIGHT, J. S.J. 326; W.R. 300  J. S.J. 269; W.R. 366  I. Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J S.J. 269; W.R. 398  v Wharam—CH.D. BYEKE, J. 359  mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 343  Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359  madey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415  Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	"Unloggia," The—P.D. & AD.D W.R. 2	01
Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D. W.R.  Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BROY. W.R.  Coppen v Moore—q.B.D. S.J.  Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R.  Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BROY.  Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D.  KEKEWICH, J. W.R.  Dartford Council v Bexley Heath Ruilway Co.—H.L. W.R.  Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R.  De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 252; W.R.  Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R.  Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D.  STIRLING, J. W.R.  Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP. S.J. 326; W.R.  Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WERGHT, J.  S.J. 326; W.R.  Dunmore v Wharam—CH.D. BYERNE, J.  S.J. 270; W.R.  Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J. S.J. 269; W.R.  Eccles v Mills—P.C. S.J. 269; W.R.  Eccles v Mills—P.C. W.R.  Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, J.  Farley v Higginbotham—q.B.D. S.J.  Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—APP. S.J.	W.R.   222   W.R.   302	Clifford w Thomas Tronworks Co. O. P.D.	
Colbeck v Ashford—q.B.D	Ashford—Q.B.D	WR 9	22
Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BECY.  W.R.  Coppen v Moore—Q.B.D	Attenborough, Ex parte—BKOY.  W.R. 352  Moore—Q.B.D	Colbeck v Ashford-o.B.D. SJ. 2	91
Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY.  Coppen v Moore—Q.B.D	Attenborough, Ex parte—BECY.  Moore—Q.B.D		02
Coppen v Moore—Q.B.D. S.J. Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re—APP. W.R. Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKOY Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. W.R. Dartford Council v Bexley Heath Railway Co.—H.L. W.R. Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 252; W.R. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D. STIRLING, J. W.R. Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP. S.J. 325; W.R. Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WERGHT, J. S.J. 325; W.R. Dunmore v Wharam—CH.D. BYENR, J. S.J. 270; W.R. Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 269; W.R. Eccles v Mills—P.C. S.J. 269; W.R. Eccles v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—APP. S.J.	W.R. 352	Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte—BKCY.	
Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKOY S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J	Re, Waud, Ex parte—BEGY S.J. 291 v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. COUNCIL J	W.R. 3	52
Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKOY S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J	Re, Waud, Ex parte—BEGY S.J. 291 v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. COUNCIL J	Coppen v Moore—Q.B.DS.J. 3	97
Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte—BKOY S.J. Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J	Re, Waud, Ex parte—BEGY S.J. 291 v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. COUNCIL J	Cowley (Earl) Estate Duty, Re-APP.	
Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D.  KEKEWICH, J	S.J. 291  v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D.  COM, J	W.B. 2	23
Crossland v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D.  KEKEWICH, J	v Holliday, Powell, Re—CH.D. CH. J	Cronmire, Re, Waud, Ex parte-BKCY	01
REKEWICH, J. W.R.  Datford Council v Bexley Heath Railway Co.—H.L. W.R.  Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP.  S.J. 324; W.R.  De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier— CH.D. KEKEWICH, J S.J. 252; W.R.  Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman— Q.B.D S.J. 326; W.R.  Deutsche National Bank v Paul—CH.D.  STIRLING, J W.R.  Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's Guardians—APP S.J. 252; W.R.  Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WERGHT, J.  S.J. 325; W.R.  Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J S.J. 269; W.R.  Eccles v Mills—P.C S.J. 269; W.R.  Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. BOMER, J.  Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J.  Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J.  Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—APP S.J.	CH, J	Crossland w Holliday Powell Pa. CH. D.	31
way Co.—H.L. W.R. Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—CH.D. KEKEWICH, JS.J. 252; W.R. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman—Q.B.D	—H.L. W.R. 235 and Harris's Contract, Re—APP. S.J. 324; W.R. 341 s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier— EKEWICH, JS.J. 252; W.R. 326 dx parte, Reg. v Sharman—	KRKEWICH, JW.R. 2	31
De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier— CH.D. REKEWICH, J S.J. 252; W.B. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman— Q.B.D	s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—  KREWICH, JS.J. 252; W.R. 326  5x parte, Reg. v Sharman—	Dartford Council v Bexley Heath Rail-	
De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier— CH.D. REKEWICH, J S.J. 252; W.B. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman— Q.B.D	s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—  KREWICH, JS.J. 252; W.R. 326  5x parte, Reg. v Sharman—	way Co.—H.L	35
De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier— CH.D. REKEWICH, J S.J. 252; W.B. Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman— Q.B.D	s, Re, De Nichols v Curlier—  KREWICH, JS.J. 252; W.R. 326  5x parte, Reg. v Sharman—	S.J. 324; W.R. 3	41
Denton, Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman— Q.B.D	Ex parte, Reg. v Sharman— S.J. 326; W.B. 367 National Bank v Paul—CH.D. S.J. W.R. 243 Guardians v St. Saviour's ns—APP	De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v Curlier-	
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	Denten Fr parts Der z Sharman	20
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	O.B.D. SI 396 · WR 3	87
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	Deutsche National Bank v Paul-CH.D.	
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	STIRLING, JW.R. 2	43
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 366 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.R. 300 Ills—P.C. W.R. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—AFF. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—AFP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	Dorking Guardians v St. Saviour's	
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	Guardians—APP S.J. 252; W.R. 3	909
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	Driffield Gas Co., Re—CH.D. WRIGHT, J.	
B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 269; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2001 B.J. 270; W.R. 2	S.J. 270; W.R. 368 I Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D. J. S.J. 269; W.B. 300 Ills—P.C. W.B. 398 v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER, S.J. 449 Iligginbotham—Q.B.D. S.J. 309 mship Co. v Burr—Q.B.D. S.J. 415 v Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 341 Newspaper Cc.—APP. S.J. 343 W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti—APP. W.R. 359 adey—Q.B.D. S.J. 380; W.R. 415 t Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	Danmore Wheren (W. 20); W.K. 4	11
Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D.  ROMER, J	Jesson's Contract, Re—CH.D.   300   W.R.   300   W.R.   398   W.R.   398   W.R.   398   W.R.   398   W.R.   398   W.R.   300   W.R.   359   360   W.R.   359   W.R.   359   W.R.   359   W.R.   350   W.R.   350	GT 070. WD 0	000
Farley v Higginbotham—q.B.DS.J. Field Steamship Co. v Burr—q.B.DS.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—AFFS.J.	Higginbotham—Q.B.D	Duthy and Jesson's Contract. Re-CH.D.	-
Farley v Higginbotham—q.B.DS.J. Field Steamship Co. v Burr—q.B.DS.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—AFFS.J.	Higginbotham—Q.B.D	BOMER, J	00
Farley v Higginbotham—q.B.DS.J. Field Steamship Co. v Burr—q.B.DS.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—AFFS.J.	Higginbotham—Q.B.D	Eccles v Mills-P.CW.R. S	98
Farley v Higginbotham—q.B.DS.J. Field Steamship Co. v Burr—q.B.DS.J. Fox v Star Newspaper Co.—AFFS.J.	Higginbotham—Q.B.D	Ehrmann v Bartholomew—CH.D. ROMER,	
	W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti- APP	J	49
	W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti- APP	Farley v Higginbotham—Q.B.DS.J.	109
	W.R. 340 Beresford, York Election Peti- APP	Freid Steamsnip Co. v Burr—Q.B.DS.J. 4	10
	Bereaford, York Election Peti- —APP		40
Furness v Beresford, York Election Pati-	—AFP	Furness v Bereaford, York Election Peti-	10
tion, Re-APP W.R.	adey—Q.B.DS.J. 380; W.R. 415 Thorne v Gibbs—OH.D. STIR-	tion, Re-APPW.R. S	159
Gage v Bradey-Q.B.D S.J. 380; W.R.	Thorne v Gibbs-CH.D. STIR-	Gage v Bradey-Q.B.D S.J. 380; W.R. 4	
Gibbs, Re, Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-		Gibbs, Re, Thorne v Gibbs—CH.D. STIR-	
TTOO T	S.J. 379	LING, JS.J. S	79

Gilbert, Re, Gilbert, Ex parte-BECY.
W.R. 351
"Glengyle," The-APPS.J. 289; W.R. 308
Gowar v Couldridge_APP. W.R. 214
Gower v Couldridge—APPW.B. 214 Grange v Silcock—Q.B.DW.B. 221
Class De serte Tennie De prov CT 246
Green, Ex parte, Laurie, Ro-BRCY. 5.3. 310
Gregory v Serie, Serie, Re-CH.D. KVKE-
Green, Ex parte, Laurie, Re—BROY. S.J. 346 Gregory v Serle, Serle, Be—CH.D. R*RE—WICH, J. S.J. 414 Hackney Election Petition, Re, Wood,
Hackney Election Petition, Re, Wood,
Ex parte—Q.B.D
Hall v Launspach—APPS.J. 251
Hackney Election Petition, Re, Wood.
J
Hawkes, Re. Ackerman v Lockhart-APP.
8.J. 381
Hamming v Davies-Q.B.D. S.J. 365
S.J. 381  Hemming v Davies—Q.B.D
WRIGHT I. WR 314
Holson w Tulloch OH D. BOWER I SI 900
WD 991
W.R. 331 Hockey v Western—APPS.J. 232
W.R. 312
Walls Wall Callionian Do over
Hookley Hall Collieries, Re-CH.D. BYRNE, J
BYRNE, J
Horner v Lewis—Q.B.DS.J. 450
Hughes, Re, Brandon v Hughes-CH.D. KEKEWICH, JW.R. 220; APP. S.J. 412
KEKEWICH, JW.R. 220; APP. S.J. 412
Humphreys, Re, Lloyd-George, Exparte
-APP
Humphreys, Re, Lloyd-George, Exparte —APP
BKCYW.R. 384
Incorporated Law Society, Ex parte,
Solicitor, A, Re-q.B.D
— (No. 2)—q.B.DS.J. 397
Hunt, Re, Board of Trade, Ex parte— BKCY. W.R. 384 Incorporated Law Society, Ex parte, Solicitor, A, Re—Q.B.D. W.R. 303 — (No. 2)—Q.B.D. S.J. 397 Ingram v Papillon, Ashton, Re—APP. W.R. 231 Irod Re Official Receiver, Ex parte—  BYR. 231
W.R. 231
Izod, Re, Official Receiver, Ex parte.  APP
APP WR SOA
Jonnings v Jennings CH D STIPLING I
R I 294 · W P 344
Jones Er narte Reg w Rivd. on n
S.J. 397
Tongs De Dishards w Tongs 607 D
Jones, Re, Richards v Jones—CH.D. BYRNE, J
BYRNE, JS.J. 269; W.R. 313
Kaye v Croydon Tramways Co,-APP.
S.J. 307; W.R. 405
Keck and Hart's Contract, Re-CH.D.
STIRLING, JS.J. 380; W.R. 389
Keck and Hart's Contract, Re—CH.D. STIRLING, J
Knight (Agnes Maria), Re-LUN W.R. 289
Knight v Langport Drainage Board-
Q.B.DS.J. 309; W.B. 392
Knight v North Metropolitan Tramways
CoQ.B.D
"Knight of St. Michael," The-P.D. &
Knight v Langport Drainage Board— Q.B.D
Lacon, Ex parte, Reg. v Thornton-APP.
Lacon, Ex parte, Reg. v Thornton—APP.
W.R. 241

Land Mortgage Bank of Florida, Re— OH.D. WRIGHT, JS.J. 253; W.R. 333 Laurie, Re, Green, Ex parte—BECY. S.J. 346 Leeds Theatre of Varieties v Broadbent
OH.D. WRIGHT, JS.J. 253; W.R. 333
Laurie, Re, Green, Ex parte—BKCY. S.J. 346
-APP
Leicester County Council v Leicester
Assessment Committee-Q.B.DS.J. 450
Lewis v Clay-Q.B.DW.R. 319
Co.—APP
Lloyd-George Ex parte Humphreys Re
-APP
London County Council v London
Hydraulic Power Co.—APPS.J. 362
v Donnellan—APP. S.J. 449
London and South-Western Railway Co.
Leeds Theatre of Varieties v Broadbent  —APP
Lower Rhine Insurance Association v
Sedgwick—Q.B.DS.J. 270; W.R. 380 Lyons v Wilkins—CH.D. BYRNE, JS.J. 253
Lyons v Wilkins—Ch.D. BYRNE, JS.J. 253  Manchester Brewery Co. v North Cheshire and Manchester Brewery Co.—Ch.D. BYRNE, J
and Manchester Brewery CoCH.D.
BYRNE, J
Manners v Pearson—APPS.J. 413
Marks v Frogley-q.B.DW.R. 249
Manners v Pesrson—APP
Wason v Konva APP S I 933
Mason v Keays—APP
Mayfair Property Co., Re, Bartlett v.
Maynards, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., S.J. 308
W.R. 346
Medows, Re, Norie v Bennett-CH.D. KEKEWICH, JW.R. 297
KEKEWICH, JW.R. 297
Mellor v Mellor, Paget, Re—CH.D. KEKE—WICH, J. W.B. 328 Millard v Wastall—Q.B.D. W.R. 258
Millard v Wastall—Q.B.D. W.R. 258
Molyneux v Fletcher-Q.B.DS.J. 254
Molyneux v Fletcher—Q.B.DS.J. 254 Monkswell (Lord) v Thompson—Q.B.D.
Mongon's (Lord) Settled Estates Ro-
CH.D. ROMEB, JS.J. 289; W.B. 330
Montgomery, Jones, & Co. v Liebenthal
& Co.—APP
Monson's (Lord) v Thompson—Q.B.D. S.J. 291; W.B. 382  Monson's (Lord) Settled Estatés, Re— CH.D. ROMER, JS.J. 289; W.B. 330  Montgomery, Jones, & Co. v Liebenthal & Co.—APPS.J. 232; W.B. 292  Moult v Halliday—Q.B.DW.B. 318  New British Iron Co., Re, Beckwith, Ex parte—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. S.J. 234; W.B. 376
parte—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. S.J. 234; W.R. 376
210 4 2 81 00110015, 200 2111
New Zealand Loan Agency v Morrison—
P.C. WR 930
Nickels v Nickels-CH.D. STIRLING, J. S.J. 414
Nickels v Nickels—CH.D. STIRLING, J. S.J. 414 Norie v Bennett, Medows, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J
North v Percival—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 341
North v Percival—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 341 Olympia, Re—CH.D. WRIGHT, JS.J. 290
Paget, Re, Mellor v Mellor-CH.D. KEKE-
North v Percival—OH.D. KEKEWICH, J. S.J. 341 Olympia, Re—CH.D. WRIGHT, JS.J. 290 Paget, Re, Mellor v Mellor—CH.D. KEKE- WICH, JW.R. 328 Paget v Paget—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. W.R. 232
Paget v Paget—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J. W.B. 232   APP. S.J. 378
APP. 8.J. 3/81

Pegge v Neath District Tramways Co.— CH.D. NORTH, J		
Pennell v Franklin, White, Re—CH.D. REKEWICH, J	Dames or Worth District Transport Co	
Perry Almshouses, Re—CH.D. STIRLING, J.  Pieroy, Re, Whitwham v. Pieroy—APP.  S.J. 365 Piers, Re, Piers, Ex parte—APP	CH.D. NORTH, J	243
Perry Almshouses, Re—CH.D. STIRLING, J.  Pieroy, Re, Whitwham v. Pieroy—APP.  S.J. 365 Piers, Re, Piers, Ex parte—APP	KEKEWICH, J. W.R.	247
Perry Almshouses, Re—CH.D. STIRLING, J.  Pieroy, Re, Whitwham v. Pieroy—APP.  S.J. 365 Piers, Re, Piers, Ex parte—APP	Pennington Ex parte-0.R.D. S.I.	254
Perry Almshouses, Re—CH.D. STIRLING, J.  W.R. 360 Piercy, Re, Whitwham v. Piercy—APP. S.J. 395 Piers, Re, Piers, Ex parte—APP	W D	415
Piercy, Re, Whitwham v. Piercy—APP. S.J. 395 Piers, Re, Piers, Ex parte—APP \$J. 395 Pike v Hamlyn, Rowe, Re—APP \$W.R. 357 Powell, Re, Crossland v Holliday—Ch.D. KEKEWICH, J	Perry Almshouses, Re-CH.D. STIRLING, J.	
Queensland National Bank v Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. APP. W.R. 324 Revenshaw v Barker, Barker, Re—CH.D. NORTH, J. W.R. 296 Reg. v Bird, Jones, Ex parte—Q.B.D. Reg. v Clemens—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 347 Reg. v Boundard, S.J. 327; W.R. 377 Reg. v Humphrey—C.C.RS.J. 327 Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D. S.J. 380 Reg. v Rose—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Reg. v Tornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP. W.R. 241 Reg. v West—C.C.R	Discour Do Whitesham - Discour ADD	
Queensland National Bank v Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. APP. W.R. 324 Revenshaw v Barker, Barker, Re—CH.D. NORTH, J. W.R. 296 Reg. v Bird, Jones, Ex parte—Q.B.D. Reg. v Clemens—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 347 Reg. v Boundard, S.J. 327; W.R. 377 Reg. v Humphrey—C.C.RS.J. 327 Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D. S.J. 380 Reg. v Rose—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Reg. v Tornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP. W.R. 241 Reg. v West—C.C.R	Diana Da Diana Ela anada uma G.F.	905
Queensland National Bank v Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. APP. W.R. 324 Revenshaw v Barker, Barker, Re—CH.D. NORTH, J. W.R. 296 Reg. v Bird, Jones, Ex parte—Q.B.D. Reg. v Clemens—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 347 Reg. v Boundard, S.J. 327; W.R. 377 Reg. v Humphrey—C.C.RS.J. 327 Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D. S.J. 380 Reg. v Rose—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Reg. v Tornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP. W.R. 241 Reg. v West—C.C.R	Pilers, Re, Piers, Ex parte—APPS.J.	000
Queensland National Bank v Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co. APP. W.R. 324 Revenshaw v Barker, Barker, Re—CH.D. NORTH, J. W.R. 296 Reg. v Bird, Jones, Ex parte—Q.B.D. Reg. v Clemens—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 347 Reg. v Boundard, S.J. 327; W.R. 377 Reg. v Humphrey—C.C.RS.J. 327 Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D. S.J. 380 Reg. v Rose—C.C.RS.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Reg. v Tornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP. W.R. 241 Reg. v West—C.C.R	Powell, Re, Crossland v Holliday—ch.D.	301
and Oriental Steam Navigation Co.  APP W.R. 324  Ravenshaw v Barker, Barker, Re—CH.D.  NORTH, J	Queensland National Bank v Peninsular	231
Reg. v Clemens—C.C.BS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Clockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte— Q.B.D	and Unicital Steam Navigation Co.	201
Reg. v Clemens—C.C.BS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Clockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte— Q.B.D	APP. W.K.	324
Reg. v Clemens—C.C.BS.J. 326; W.R. 416 Reg. v Clockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte— Q.B.D	Ravenshaw v Barker, Barker, Re-CH.D.	
Reg. v Clemens—C.C.R.       S.J.       326; W.R.       416         Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       S.J.       346         Q.B.D.       S.J.       347; W.R.       377         Reg. v Douglas—Q.B.D.       S.J.       345         Reg. v Rose—C.C.R.       S.J.       346         Reg. v Rose—C.C.R.       S.J.       326; W.R.         Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       S.J.       327         Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       S.J.       235         Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       W.R.       347         Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—A.P.       S.J.       235         Reg. v Torkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       W.R.       334         Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—CH.D.       BYRNE, J.       338         Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott—Q.B.D.       S.J.       363         Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP.       S.J.       363         Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J.       S.J.       363         Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J.       S.J.       361         Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D.       S.J.       334; W.R.         Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP.       W.R.       357         Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assuranc—APP.	Reg. v Bird, Jones, Ex parte—Q B.D.	296
Reg. v Clemens—C.C.R.       S.J.       326; W.R.       416         Reg. v Cockshott, Rickerby, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       S.J.       346         Q.B.D.       S.J.       347; W.R.       377         Reg. v Douglas—Q.B.D.       S.J.       345         Reg. v Rose—C.C.R.       S.J.       346         Reg. v Rose—C.C.R.       S.J.       326; W.R.         Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       S.J.       327         Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       S.J.       235         Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       W.R.       347         Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—A.P.       S.J.       235         Reg. v Torkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       W.R.       334         Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—CH.D.       BYRNE, J.       338         Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott—Q.B.D.       S.J.       363         Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP.       S.J.       363         Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J.       S.J.       363         Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J.       S.J.       361         Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D.       S.J.       334; W.R.         Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP.       W.R.       357         Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assuranc—APP.	S.J.	397
Q.B.D.       S.J.       340         Reg. v Douglas—Q.B.D.       S.J.       327; W.R.       37         Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D.       S.J.       380         Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D.       S.J.       380         Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       367         Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       381         Reg. v Tornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.       W.R.         W.R.       241         Reg. v West—Q.C.R.       W.R.         Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D.       W.R.         Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—OH.D.       BYRNE, J.         BYRNE, J.       S.J.         Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott—Q.B.D.       S.J.         Q.B.D.       S.J.         Ridley Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP.       S.J.         Sitson v Ritson—OH.D. Romer, J.       S.J.         Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D.       S.J.         Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP.       S.J.         St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v       London School Board—H.L.       W.R.         Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D.       S.J.       328         Salt Union v Rogers v Salla—GH.D.       S.J.       440         Rowe, Gregory v Salla—GH.D.       S.J.       445	Reg. v Clemens—C.C.BS.J. 326; W.R.	416
Reg. v Humphrey—C.C.B. S.J. 415 Reg. v Rose—C.C.B. S.J. 380 Reg. v Rose—C.C.B. S.J. 380 Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte— Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 235 Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.  Reg. v West—C.C.B. W.R. 318 Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D. W.R. 318 Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—CH.D. BYRNE, J. S.J. 269; W.R. 313 Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott—Q.B.D. S.J. 363 Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP.  Ridley's Trade-mark, Re—APP. S.J. 363 Riston v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 450 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 381 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L. W.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Sanle Reg. Greegry v Serle—CH.D. S.J. 451 Sanle Reg. Greegry v Serle—CH.D. S.J. 451 Sanle Reg. Greegry v Serle—CH.D. S.J. 451	O.B.D. S.I.	346
Reg. v Humphrey—C.C.B. S.J. 415 Reg. v Rose—C.C.B. S.J. 380 Reg. v Rose—C.C.B. S.J. 380 Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte— Q.B.D. S.J. 326; W.R. 367 Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D. S.J. 235 Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.  Reg. v West—C.C.B. W.R. 318 Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D. W.R. 318 Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—CH.D. BYRNE, J. S.J. 269; W.R. 313 Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott—Q.B.D. S.J. 363 Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP.  Ridley's Trade-mark, Re—APP. S.J. 363 Riston v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 450 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 381 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L. W.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Sanle Reg. Greegry v Serle—CH.D. S.J. 451 Sanle Reg. Greegry v Serle—CH.D. S.J. 451 Sanle Reg. Greegry v Serle—CH.D. S.J. 451	Reg v Dongles ORD SI 397 · WR	
Reg. v Robinson—Q.B.D.         S.J.         380           Reg. v Rose—C.C.R.         S.J.         255           Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte—Q.B.D.         367           Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.         381           Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.         W.R.           W.R.         241           Reg. v West—C.C.R.         W.R.           Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D.         W.R.           Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—OH.D.         BYRNE, J.           BYRNE, J.         S.J.           Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott—Q.B.D.         S.J.           Q.B.D.         S.J.           Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP.           S.J.         307           Ritson v Ritson—OH.D. ROMER, J.         S.J.           Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D.         S.J.           Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D.         S.J.           Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP.         W.R.           St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v         London School Board—H.L.         W.R.           Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D.         W.R.         341           Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D.         S.J.         328           Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D.         S.J.         451 <td>Reg w Humphrey_CCD &amp;I</td> <td></td>	Reg w Humphrey_CCD &I	
Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.  W.R. 241 Reg. v West—O.O.R. W.B. 316 Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D. W.R. 334 Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—OH.D. BYRNE, J. S.J. 269; W.R. 313 Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott— Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP. S.J. 363 Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 450 Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D. S.J. 381 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 234; W.R. 350 Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP. W.R. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L. W.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. W.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Sarle Re, Greegry v Scale CH.D. KEWE.	Reg v Robinson O R D S T	
Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.  W.R. 241 Reg. v West—O.O.R. W.B. 316 Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D. W.R. 334 Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—OH.D. BYRNE, J. S.J. 269; W.R. 313 Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott— Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP. S.J. 363 Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 450 Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D. S.J. 381 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 234; W.R. 350 Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP. W.R. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L. W.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. W.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Sarle Re, Greegry v Scale CH.D. KEWE.	Reg v Rose_COP ST	
Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.  W.R. 241 Reg. v West—O.O.R. W.B. 316 Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D. W.R. 334 Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—OH.D. BYRNE, J. S.J. 269; W.R. 313 Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott— Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP. S.J. 363 Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 450 Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D. S.J. 381 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 234; W.R. 350 Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP. W.R. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L. W.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. W.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Sarle Re, Greegry v Scale CH.D. KEWE.	Reg. v Sharman, Denton, Ex parte-	
Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.  W.R. 241 Reg. v West—O.O.R. W.B. 316 Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices, Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D. W.R. 334 Richards v Jones, Jones, Re—OH.D. BYRNE, J. S.J. 269; W.R. 313 Rickerby, Ex parte, Reg. v Cockshott— Q.B.D. S.J. 346 Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP. S.J. 363 Ritson v Ritson—CH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 450 Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D. S.J. 381 Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D. S.J. 234; W.R. 350 Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP. W.R. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP. S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L. W.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D. WRIGHT, J. W.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensation Board—Q.B.D. S.J. 328 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Saunders v Thorney—Q.B.D. S.J. 451 Sarle Re, Greegry v Serle CH.D. KEWE.	Reg. v Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parte—Q.B.D.	
Reg. v West—C.C.R	Reg. v Thornton, Lacon, Ex parte—APP.	
Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP	Reg. v West-0.0.R	
Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP	Shaw, Ex parte—Q.B.D	334
Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan—APP. S.J. 307 Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP	BYRNE, JS.J. 269; W.R.	313
Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP	Q.B.D	346
Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP	Ridley, Re, Ridley v Vaughan -APP.	205
Ritson v Ritson—OH.D. ROMER, J	S.J.	
Rogers v Hawken—Q.B.D	Ripley's Trade-mark, Re—APP S.J.	
Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—q.B.D.  S.J. 234; W.R. 350 Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP W.R. 357 Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assurance—APP S.J. 411 St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.LW.R. 353 Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—GH.D.  WRIGHT, J	Ritson v Ritson—ch.D. Romer, JS.J.	
St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L	Rogers v Manchester Packing Co—Q.B.D.	-
St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L	S.J. 234; W.R.	
St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v London School Board—H.L	Rowe, Re, Pike v Hamlyn—APP W.R. Ruabon Steamship Co. v London Assur-	357
Sale Rotel, Ref. Hesseth S case—CH.D. WRIGHT, JW.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensa- tion Board—Q.B.D	ance—APPS.J.	411
Sale Rotel, Ref. Hesseth S case—CH.D. WRIGHT, JW.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416 Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensa- tion Board—Q.B.D	London School Board—H.LW.R.	353
Salt Union v Northwich Salt Compensa- tion Board—q.B.D	Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case—CH.D.	
Cerie. Ke. Itrecorv v Serie—CH D. KEKR.	WRIGHT, J W.K. 314; APP. S.J.	416
Cerie. Ke. Itrecorv v Serie—CH D. KEKR.	tion Roard - O. R. D. C. T	998
Cerie. Ke. Itrecorv v Serie—CH D. KEKR.	Sannders v Thorney o P h	
WIGH, J. S.J. 414 Shaw, Ex parte, Reg. v Yorkshire West Riding Justices—Q.B.D. W.R. 334 Smith v Richmond—APPS.J. 344; W.R. 401	MODIO, KO. ITPOPOTV V MODIO—CH D. KEKR.	
Riding Justices—Q.B.D	WICH, J	414
Smith v Richmond—APPS.J. 344; W.R. 401	Riding Justices P D	994
January All., By, Ull, W.A. 101	Smith v Richmond—APP SI 344 W D	401
	omin v monutoud AffO.J. off; W.A.	101

=		
	Smith and Ward, Ex parte—q.B.DS.J. Solicitor, A, Re, Incorporated Law Society,	254
	Ex parte—Q.B.DW.R.	303
-	Ex parte (No. 2)—Q.B.DS.J.	397
	Ex parte (No. 2)—Q.B.D	361
	and Coke Co.—CH.D. NORTH, JS.J.	233
	APP., S.J. 345; W.R.	355
1	Spencer v Lancashire and Yorkshire Rail-	397
1	Spooner v Browning-APP. W R.	369
	way Co.—Q.B.D. S.J.  Spooner v Browning—APP. W.B. Stephenson v Garnett—APP. W.B. Stockport Reformatory Schools, Re—OH.D. STIRLING, J. S.J.  Stuart v Maskelyne British Typewriter—	410
	Stockport Reformatory Schools, Re-	364
1	Stuart v Maskelyne British Typewriter—	
Î	APP	294
	Sumpter v Hedges-APPS.J.	362
	Sweeting, Re-CH.D. NORTH, J. W.R.	242
-	Sweeting, Re—CH.D. NORTH, JW.R. Thomas v Roberts—Q.B.DS.J.	365
-	Thorne v Gibbs, Gibbs, Re—CH.D. STIR- LING, J	379
	LING, J	000
l	CH.D. KEKEWICH, JW.R. Union Bank of London, Ex parte, Castell	299
		248
-	& Brown, Re—CH.D. ROMER, J W.B. Verney's Settled Estates, Re—CH.D. KEKEWICH, J	348
	Vogwill, Ex parte, Reg. v Taylor-Q.B.D.	
	Weller w Atkinson Atkinson Re-CH D	235
ŀ	STIRLING, JS.J.	414
	Watkins v Lindsay-BKCYS.J.	310
	STIRLING, J	001
	Weekes v Kent General Land Society—	
	CH.D. KEKEWICH, J	449
	W.R.	362
	Westacott v Stewart—q.B.DS.J. W.R.	327
	West Hartlepool (Mayor) v Robinson-	010
	West London Benefit Building Society,	218
	Re—APP. S.J. West Randt Proprietary, Re—CH.D. NORTH, J. S.J. Wheeler v Fradd—APP. S.J. White, Re, Pennell v Franklin—CH.D.	363
	Works I repriesely, as - Ch.D.	205
	Whooles w ReaddADD CT	984
	White, Re, Pennell v Franklin—CH.D.	JUI
	sioners v London Corporation—CH.D.	
	White's Charities, Re, Charity Commissioners v London Corporation—CH.D. ROMER, J	429
	S.J.	395
	Wood. Ex parte, Hackney Election Peti-	385
	tion, Re-Q.B.DS.J.	396
	POWER I GT 995. W.D.	279
	Wood w Widnes (Mayor) App W.R.	303
	York Election Petition, Re. Forness	200
	Wickens, Ex parte—APP. W.R. Wood, Ex parte, Hackney Election Petition, Re—Q.B.D. S.J. Wood and Lewis's Contract, Re—GH.D. ROMER, J. S.J. 325; W.R. Wood v Widnes (Mayor)—APP. W.R. York Election Petition, Re, Furness v Beresford—APP. W.R.	359

ADM

ADU Au ma se.

AGE
AMI

ANI

Q.B

APP

Per

W.

APP

ARB

Teg mil 158

BAN 1 1 F- 52), winc 2 2 men fere 6.1 1 1899

KELL 4 Add Add S. 1 1 W.J. 5. diot sions 6.6 (46 & Re, 6.1 ud, 188)

47

. 361 . 233 355

397

362

365 379

299

248

348

, 235

310 . 291

449

362 327

379

218

363

395 364

. 247

429

395

385

373 293

# DIGEST.

### ADMINISTRATION-

Charity—Impure personalty—Power of selection given to trustees—Selection of charities authorized to take impure personalty.

—Piercy, Re, Whitwham v. Piercy, APP., S.J. 395

See also Bankruptcy, 1, 4; Married Woman, 1; Solicitor, 5

Food and drugs-Refusal to supply article for analysis Authority of constable—Liability of shopkeeper for refusal by manager—Sale of Food and Drugs Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 63), ss. 13 and 17.—Farley v. Higginbotham, Q.B.D., S.J. 309

See Will, 2

#### AGENT-

See Principal and Agent

#### AGRICULTURAL RATING ACT, 1896-See Poor Law, 2

Dog-Injury done to cattle or sheep-Liability of owner of dog
-Dogs Act, 1865 (28 & 29 Vict. c. 60), s. 1.—Grange v. Silcock,
q.B.D., W.R. 221

#### APPOINTMENT-

1. Power-Real estate-Power of sale-Appointment to trustees upon trust for sale—Legal estate—Proper persons to conduct sale.
—Paget, Re, Mellor v. Mellor, CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., W.R. 328

2. Rule against double portions—Appointment by mother—Person in loco parentis.—Ashton, Re, Ingram v. Papillon, AFP., W.R. 231

#### APPROPRIATION\_

See Trustee, 1

#### ARRITRATION-

Partnership—Notice of expulsion—Quasi-judicial position of co-partners.—Barnes v. Youngs, CH.D. ROMER, J., S.J. 269; W.R. 332

Volunteer-" Persons subject to military law"-Training with regular forces—Breaking up of camp—Subsequent detention in military custody—Army Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 58), ss, 41, 45, 158, 176, sub-section 8 (a).—Marks v. Frogley, Q.B.D., W.R. 249

1. Administration—Vesting of property—"Deed or conveyance"—Priority—Registration—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), ss. 20, 54, 121.—Calcott and Elvin's Contract, Re, CH.D. KEKE-WICH, J., S.J. 395

2. Company—Inland revenue—Income tax—Preferential payments—Debenture-holders—Commencement of winding up—Preferential Payments in Bankruptcy Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 62), s. 1 (1) (a)—Preferential Payments in Bankruptcy Amendment Act, 1897 (60 & 61 Vict. c. 19), s. 2.—Weekes v. Kent Land Society, CH.D. квиеwioh, J., S.J. 449

3. Fraudulent preference—Onus of proof—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 48.—Laurie, Re, Green, Ex parte, BROY., S.J. 344

4. Insolvent estate—Debt due to executor—Retainer in specie—Administration order—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52).
s. 125, sub-sections 1-9.—Gilbert, Re, Gilbert, Ex parte, BKOY., W.R. 351

5. Judgment—Scotch judgment registered in England—Jurisdiction to issue bankruptcy notice in England—Judgments Extension Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 54), s. 3—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 4, sub-section 1 (g)—Bankruptcy Notice, A, Re, APP., W.R. 325

6. Judgment debt — Equitable assignment of debt — Right of judgment creditor to issue bankruptcy notice—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 4, sub-section 1 (g)—Bankruptcy

Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 71), s. 1 .- Palmer, Re, Brims, Ex parte,

Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 71), s. 1.—Palmer, Re, Brims, Ex parte, APP., W.R. 342
7. Practice—Application to set aside bankruptcy notice—Adjournment—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 4, subsection 1 (g)—Bankruptcy Rules, 1886 and 1890, rr. 138 (2), 139, Form 8.—Cole, Re, Attenborough, Ex parte, BRCY., W.R. 352
8. Practice—Costs of stranger to bankruptcy—Taxation—Power of Board of Trade to review—Bankruptcy Rules, 1886 and 1890, r. 124—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 73, subsection 3.—Hunt, Re, Board of Trade, Ex parte, BRCY., W.R. 384
9. Proof—Amendment—Secured creditor—Omission to value security arising from inadvertence—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), S. Chedule I., r. 18.—Piers, Re, Piers, Ex parte, APP., S.J. 365

10. Proof-Cover-Gaming or wagering transactions-Gaming Act, 1845 [8 & 9 Vict. c. 109), s. 18.—Cronmire, Re, Waud, Exparts

Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 109), s. 18.—Cronmire, Re, Wand, Ex parse BKOY., S.J. 291

11. Proof—Provable debt—Debt contracted after notice of act of bankruptcy—Liability of bankrupt—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 9, sub-section 1; s. 37, sub-sections 2, 3.—Buckwell v. Norman, APP., S.J. 366; W.R. 339

12. Receiving order—Application to rescind—Arrangement with creditors—Jurisdiction and discretion of registrar—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 104—Bankruptcy Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 71), s. 3, sub-section 6.—Isod, Re, Official Receiver, Ex parte, APP., W.R. 304

13. Set-off—Mutual credit and set-off—Bankruptcy Act, 1883 (49 & 47 Vict. c. 52), s. 38.—Watkins v. Lindsay, BKOY., S.J. 310 See also County Court, 5; Solicitor, 2

Application for summons—Three applications to different magistrates—Time—35 & 36 Vict. c. 65, s. 3.—Reg. v. Robinson, Q.D.D.,

### BETTING-

See Gaming

### BILL of EXCHANGE-

Maker of promissory note—Signature of maker obtained by fraudulent misrepresentation—Right of payee to recover against maker—Bills of Exchange Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 61), s. 29.—
Lewis v. Clay, Q.B.D., W.B. 319

### BILL of SALE-

1. Registration—"True copy"—Omission of date—Validity—Bills of Sale Act, 1872, Amendment Act, 1882 (46 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 9.—Thomas v. Roberts, Q.B.D., S.J. 366
2. Scisure of goods—Entering into possession for purpose of realizing security—Non-production of last receipt for rent—Reasonable excuse—Relief against seisure—Jurisdiction to order bill of sale to be given up—Bills of Sale Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 43), s. 7, sub-section 4.—Wickens, Ex parte, APP., W.R. 385

### BRINE PUMPING ACT-

Rate—"Preceding twelve months"—Brine Pumping (Compensation for Subsidence) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 40), s. 38.—Salt Union v. Northwich Salt Compensation Board, Q.B.D., S.J. 328.

#### BUILDING SOCIETY-

Rules-Construction-Payments on death of members-Notice of withdrawal—Widows and orphans—Precedence.—West London Building Society, Re, APP., S.J. 363

#### CHARITY-

- 1. Local Government Act; 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), ss. 70, 75—
  "Ecclesiastical charity"—Member of Church of England as such.
  "Perry Almshouses, Re, CH.D. STIRLING, J., W.R. 360
  2. Ragged school—Mortgage of property of—Sanction of Charity Commissioners—16 & 17 Vict. c. 137, ss. 17, 62.—Stockport Ragged Schools, Re, CH.D. STIRLING, J., S.J. 364
  See also Administration; Highway

#### COMPANY-

1. Capital—Mortgage of uncalled capital—Debenture—Priority
—Winding up—Unsecured Creditors—Companies Act, 1879 (42 &
43 Vict. c. 76), s. 5.—Mayfair Property Co., Re, Bartlett v. Same,

2. Contract—Fully paid-up shares—Statement of consideration
—Particularity—Rectification of register—Order—Companies Act,
1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 131), s. 25.—Maynards, Re, CH.D. KEKEWICH,
J., S.J. 308; W.R. 346
3. Contract—Consideration insufficiently stated—Shares fully
paid up—Rectification of register—Companies Act, 1867 (30 & 31
Vict. c. 131), s. 25.—West Randt Proprietary, Re, CH.D. NORTH, J.,
2 Y 208 S.J. 395

4. Debentures—Equitable security—Option to call for debenture.

—Pegge v. Neath Tramways Co., CH.D. MORTH, J., W.B. 243

5. Debentures—Payable by instalments—Specific performance—

Damages.—South African Territories v. Wallington, H.L., S.J. 361 6. Debenture—Power to appoint receiver—Fiduciary power— Jurisdiction of court.—Maskelyne British Typewriter, Re, Stuart v.

Same, APP., W.R. 294
7. Debentures—Priority between equitable mortgagees—Notice Negligence of first mortgagee in custody of deeds.—Castell & rown, Re, Union Bank of London, Ex parte, CH.D. BOMER, J., Brown,

8. Inspection of register—Company in voluntary liquidation—Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), s. 32.—Kent Coalfields Syndicate, Re, APP., S.J. 363

9. Name—Registration—Name of old company added to name of existing company—Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), s. 20.—Manchester Brewery Co. v. North Cheshire and Manchester Brewery Co., APP., S.J. 429

10. Sale of undertaking—Bonus to directors—Ultra vires—

Notice of purposes of extraordinary meeting—Sufficiency of notice—Companies Clauses Act, 1845 (8 Vict. c. 16), ss. 71, 85, 86.—Kaye v. Croydon Tramways Co., APP., S.J. 307; W.R. 405

11. Winding up—Assets—Distribution of surplus capital—Fally paid and partly paid shares—Nominal amount of shares—Equalization—Unlimited liability.—Driffield Gas Co., Re, CH.D. WRIGHT, C.I. 205. W. H. 411

J., S.J. 325; W.R. 411

12. Winding up—Assets—Scheme sanctioned by the court—Surplus assets—Management expenses—"Undistributed assets" Joint-Stock Companies Arrangement Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Vict. c. 104), s. 2—Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 63), s. 15 (3).—Land Mortgage Bank of Florida, Re, cH.D. WRIGHT, J., S.J. 253; W.B. 338

13. Winding up—Assets—Surplus assets—Distribution—Articles of assectiation—Repayment—Unequal contribution—Equalization

of association—Repayment—Unequal contribution—Equalization.

or association—Repayment—Unequal contribution—Equalization.

—Anglo-Continental Corporation of West Australia, Re, CH.D. WRIGHT, J., S.J. 270; W.R. 413

14. Winding up—Companies Arrangement Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Vict. c. 104)—Colonial creditor—Pleading—Foreign court.—New Zealand Loan Agency v. Morrison, P.C., W.R. 239

15. Winding up—Directors' fees—Creditors—Articles of association—Fixed resourcestion—Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict.)

tion—Fixed remuneration—Companies Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 89), s. 38, sub-section 7.—New British Iron Co., Re, Beckwith, Ex

parte, CH.D. WRIGHT, J., S.J. 234; W.R. 376

16. Winding up—Misfeasance—Promoter—Fiduciary position—
Secret profit.—Olympia (Lim.), Re, CH.D. WRIGHT, J., S.J. 290

17. Winding up—Promoter—Misfeasance—Secret profit—Prospectus—Statutes of Limitation—Trustee Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 59), s. 8—Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c, 63), s. 10.—Sale Hotel, Re, Hesketh's case, CH.D. WRIGHT, J., W.R. 314; APP. S.J. 416

See also County Court, 2; Justices, 1

#### CONSPIRACY-

See Trades Union, 1

1. Building-Part performance-Contract abandoned by builder -Completion by owner-Quantum meruit. -Sumpler v. Hedges, APP., S.J. 362

2. Construction—Company promoter.—Wheeler v. Fradd, APP., **S.J.** 364

3. Sale by private treaty—Tender—"Highest net tender"—Practice—Striking out statement of claim—R. S. C., ord. 25, r. 4.
—South Hetton Coal Co. v. Haswell, &c., Coal and Coke Co., CH.D.
NORTH, J., S.J. 233; APP., S.J. 345, W.R. 355

4. Wine merchant's traveller-Negative stipulation against doing other business-Injunction. - Ehrmann v. Bartholomew, CH.D. See also Company, 2, 3, 5; Married Woman, 1; Master and Servant, 1; Railway Company, 2

## CONVEYANCING ACT, 1881-

See Married Woman, 2; Mortgage, 1; Vendor and Purchaser, 1

#### COPYHOLD-

Tenant for life and remaindermen—Custom of the manor—Leases for lives—No right of renewal—Arbitrary fines on renewal.—
Medows, Re, Norie v. Bennett, OH.D. KEKEWICH, J., W.R. 297

#### CORONER-

Medical practitioner — Right of action — Fee for post-mortem examination and attendance at inquest—Public hospital—Medical officer—Coroners Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 71), s. 22, proviso (2). -Horner v. Lewis, Q.B.D., S.J. 450

Borough fund—Costs of chief constable in opposing renewal of licence—Borough Funds Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Vict. c. 91), s. 2—Municipal Corporations Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 50), ss. 140-143, 191; Schedule V., Part II., clause 5 (d).—Attorney-General v. Tynemouth (Mayor), APP., S.J. 363

See Bankruptey, 8; Corporation; County Court, 1; Licensing Law, 1; Mayor's Court; Practice, 2; Solicitor, 2, 3, 6

### COUNTY COURT-

1. Costs—Taxation.—Maw v. Best, q.B.D., S.J. 382
2. Jurisdiction—Company—Winding up—Committal order—Prohibition—Companies (Winding-up) Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 63), s. 1 (6).—New Par Consols, Re, APP., S.J. 343; W.E. 369

63), s. 1 (6).—New Par Consols, Re, APP., S.J. 343; W.R. 369
3. Practice—Appeal—Action where the debt or damage claimed does not exceed £20—Injunction—County Courts Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 43), s. 120.—Brune v. James, Q.B.D., W.R. 267
4. Practice—Appeal—Point not raised at trial—County Courts Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 43), s. 120, and following sections.—Clifford v. Thames Ironworks Co., Q.B.D., W.R. 222
5. Practice—Bankruptcy of plaintiffs—Trustee added as plaintiff by order of the High Court, before proceedings in county court were commenced—Application for trustee to give security—County Courts Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 43), ss. 65, 66, 94.—Hemming v. Davies, Q.B.D., S.J. 365
6. Practice—Stamp on document—Appeal—Application of High Court rule—County Courts Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 43), s. 164—R. S. C., 1883, ord. 39, r. 8.—Mander v. Ridgway, Q.B.D., S.J. 201; W.R. 366

### CRIMINAL LAW-

1. Committal for rape-Indictment for misdemeanourmencement of prosecution for misdemeanour—Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1885 (48 & 49 Vict. c. 69), s. 5.—Reg. v. West, C.C.B., W.R. 316 2. Confession of prisoner-Admissibility.-Reg. v. Rose, C.C.R.,

S.J. 255

3. Malicious damage to property—Damage done in assertion of supposed right—Unnecessary violence—Malicious Damage Act, 1861 (24 & 25 Vict. c. 97), s. 51.—Reg. v. Clemens, c.c.s., S.J. 326; W.R. 416

### DOG-

See Animal

#### DOMICIL-

Matrimonial domicil—French law—Contract of marriage—Movable goods acquired during coverture—Change of domicil.—
De Nichols, Re, De Nichols v. Curlier, Ch.D. KEKEWICH, J., S.J. 252; W.R. 326

1. County council—Death of candidate—Injunction—Prerogative mandamus—Ballot Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Viot. c. 33), s. 1—Municipal Corporations Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Viot. c. 50), s. 58.—Westacott v. Stewart, Q.B.D., S.J. 327; W.R. 379

2. Municipal election—Death of one of the candidates—Post-

2. Municipal election—Death of one of the candidates—Postponement of polling day—Expenses exceed maximum—Application for relief—Municipal Elections (Corrupt and Illegal Practices
Act, 1884 (47 & 48 Vict. c. 70), s. 20.—Hackney Election, Re, Wood
and Stuart, Exparte, Q.B.D., S.J. 396

3. Municipal corporation—Election of councillors—Printer's
name omitted from circulated bills and tickets—Penalty—
Municipal Elections (Corrupt Practices) Act, 1884, ss. 14, 21 (7).—
Swith and Wand Expanter O. R.D. S.J. 254

Municipal election—Election of councillors—Unopposed candidate—Return of expenses—No expenses in fact incurred—Municipal Elections (Corrupt and Illegal Practices) Act, 1884, s. 21, sub-sections 4, 7.—Pennington, Ex parte, Q.B.D., S.J. 254; W.R. 415

5. Parliament—Election petition—Claim of seat—Scrutiny-Particulars—Election Petition Rules, 1868, rr. 6, 7.—Furness Bereaford, York Election Petition, Re, APP., W.B. 359 rr. 6, 7 .- Furness v.

Ac 80% EST

onl

EXE

FAC' and 187 Fac

sop

FISH Fis 189

S.J

F001

HIGH -8 stre Act Con

INJU

COS

INL

Cu

as a 7), dea An 60

CH.

INST pell 415

\*\* B P.D. poli

clas

JUDG

6. School board election—Becount as between two candidates only—Finality as regards candidates not petitioned against—Ballot Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Vict. c. 33), s. 2.—Monkswell (Lord) v. Thompson, Q.B.D., S.J. 291; W.R. 382

#### ESTOPPEL-

47

val.-

ortem

Laniba 0(2).

ral of

140-

ral v.

nsing

derct. c. imed

51 &

ourts

ns.intiff ourt

unty ng v.

High 64 291:

lom-

Law Vest,

C.R.,

n of

Act, 326:

S.J.

3.-

ostices ood

er's ).—

di, 8. 54; ySee Married Woman, 3

### EXECUTOR-

See Bankruptcy, 4; Solicitor, 4

1. Bleaching and dyeing works—Processes of hooking, lapping, and making up and packing cloth—Factory and Workshop Act, 1878 (41 & 42 Vict. c. 16), s. 93, Schedule IV., Part I (2).—Rogers v. Manchester Packing Co., Q.B.D., S.J. 234; W.R. 350
2. Fencing machinery—Person injured by his own negligence—Factory and Workshops Act, 1878 (41 Vict c. 16), s. 82.—Blenkinsop v. Ogden, Q.B.D., S.J. 450

Sea fishing—Trawl-net with beam—"Otter" trawl-net—Sea Fisheries Regulation Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Viot. c. 54)—Fishery Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Viot. c. 37)—North-Eastern Sea Fisheries District Bye-laws, bye-law 1.—Colbeck v. Ashford, Q.B.D., S.J. 291; W.B.

### F00D-

See Adulteration.

#### GAMING-

Betting—Place used for betting—Private thoroughfare—Betting Act, 1853 (16 & 17 Vict. c. 119), ss. 1, 3.—Reg. v. Humphrey, c.c.s., S.J. 415

See also Bankruptey, 10

Ownership—Right of adjoining landowner ad medium filum viae—Street in a town—Presumption—Rent-charge issuing out of street—Liability—Practice—Charity—Charitable Trusts (Recovery) Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. 17), s. 3.—White's Charities, Re, Charity Commissioners v. London Corporation, CH.D. ROMER, J., S.J. 429

### INJUNCTION-

Trader—Misleading advertisement—Sale of goods at less than cost price.—Ajello v. Worsley, CH.D. STIRLING, J., W.R. 245

See also Contract, 4; County Court, 3; Election Law, 1; Practice, 2

#### INLAND REVENUE-

1. Estate duty—Gift inter vivos—Possession assumed to entire exclusion of donor—Interest reserved—Power of revocation—Customs and Inland Revenue Act, 1881 (44 Vict. c. 12), s. 38(2)(a)(c), as amended by Customs and Inland Revenue Act, 1889 (52 Vict. c. 7), s. 11.—Attorney-General v. Grey (Earl), q.B.D., W.R. 251
2. Estate duty—Settled property—Value of property passing on death—Mortgage created by tenant for life and remainderman—Annuity charged upon estate in favour of remainderman during life of tenant for life—Finance Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. 30), ss. 1, 2, 7.—Cowley (Earl), Estate Duty, Re, APP., W.R. 223
3. Settlement estate duty—Incidence—Finance Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 28), whether retrospective.—Gibbs, Re, Thorne v. Gibbs, CE.D. STIELING, J., S.J. 379

CH.D. STIRLING, J., S.J. 379

See also Bankruptcy, 2

### INSURANCE-

1. Marine—Collision—Cargo rendered worthless by water—Cargo owners abandon same to underwriters—Shipowner compelled to discharge and dispose of cargo—Liability of shipowner for expenses so incurred.—Field Steamship Co. v. Burr, Q.B.D., S.J.

2. Policy on freight—Loss by fire—Meaning of general words "all other losses and misfortunes."—"Knight of St. Michael," The,

3. Marine—Re-insurance—Lapse of original policies—New policy—Alteration of risk—Liability under policy of re-insurance.

—Lower Rhine Association v. Sedgwick, Q.B.D., S.J. 270; W.B. 380

4. Marine—Repairs to ship in dry dock—Survey for Lloyd's classification—Apportionment of expenses.—Ruabon Steamship Co. v. London Assurance, APP., S.J. 411

### JUDGMENT-

See Bankruptcy, 5, 6

### JUSTICES-

1. Discretion—Mandamus—Limited company with branch establishments—Beer licence—Application for game licence—Game Act, 1831 (1 & 2 Will 4, c. 32), s. 18.—Reg. v. Bird, Jones, Ex parts, Q.B.D., S.J. 397

2. Disqualification—Bias.—Reg. v. Taylor, Vogwill, Ex parts, Q.B.D., S.J. 236

Q.B.D., S.J. 235
3. Disqualification—Solicitor—Clerk to magistrates—Ex officio justice—Justices' Qualification Act, 1871 (34 & 35 Vict. c. 18), ss. 1, 2—Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), s. 22.—Reg. v. Douglas, Q.B.D., S.J. 327; W.R. 377
4. Petty sessions—Right to trial by jury—Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1879 (42 & 43 Vict. c. 49), s. 17 (2).—Reg. v. Cockshott, Rickerby, Rx parts. Q. B.D., S.J. 348

Ex parte, Q.B.D., S.J. 346

See also Bastardy; Licensing Law, 1, 3, 4

#### LANDLORD and TENANT-

ANDLORD and TENANT—

1. Covenant for quiet enjoyment—Reversion acquired by a rail-way company—Exercise of statutory powers—Damages—Lands Clauses Act, 1845, s. 68—Railway Clauses Act, 1846, s. 6.—Anderson v. Manchester, &c., Railway Co., CH.D. BYRNE, J., S.J. 396

2. Lease—Beerhouse—Covenant not to sell beer other than that purchased of lessor—Assignment of reversion—"Where context allows"—Assigns or successors in business—Construction.—Birmingham Breweries v. Jameson, CH.D. BYRNE, J., W.R. 375

3. Lease—Breach of covenant—Notice of "particular breach"—Forfeiture—Damages.—Serle, Re, Gregory v. Serle, CH.D. KEREWICH, J., S.J. 414

J., S.J. 414

4. Lease—Covenant—Specific devise of lease—Burden of covenant

—Residuary legatee—Liability of lessor's estate.—Eccles v. Mills,
P.C., W.R. 398

5. Lease of public-house—Covenant by lessee—Lessee and
assigns not wilfully to do anything which might be a reasonable
ground for withholding licence—Drunkenness permitted by undertenant of assignee of lessee—Action against assignee.—Bryant v.

Hancock, APP., W.R. 386

6. Nuisance—Covenant for quiet enjoyment—Derogation from
grant—Works done under statutory powers.—Cessford v. Dover
Harbour Board, Q.B.D., S.J. 451

#### LANDS CLAUSES ACTS-

See Railway Company, 5

See Landlord and Tenant, 2-5; Will, 6

LIBEL— See Mayor's Court

#### LICENSING LAW-

1. Licence—Inn—House pulled down—Transfer of licence—Intoxicating Liquors Licensing Act, 1828 (9 Geo. 4, c. 61), ss. 4, 14—Rule nici for mandamus to justices—Costs of persons opposing rule.—Reg. v. Yorkshire West Riding Justices, q.B.D., W.R. 334

2. Licence—Order sanctioning removal—Grant of licence on condition of surrendering other licence—Licensing Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Vict. c. 94), s. 50.—Reg. v. Thornton, Lacon, Exparte, APP., W.R. 241

W.R. 241
3. New licence—Certiorari to quash—Objectious by one of the public—Refusal of justices to hear statements of fact except upon oath—Mandamus-Discretion of justices—Practice.—Reg. v. Sharman, Denton, Ex parte, q.B.D., S.J. 326; W.R. 367
4. Notice of objection served after date of original licensing meeting, but in due time before adjourned meeting—Jurisdiction of justices to refuse such licence—Licensing Act, 1872 (35 & 36 Vict. c. 94), s. 42.—Baxter v. Leche, q.B.D., S.J. 430
5. Sunday closing—Delivery on Sunday of beer ordered on Saturday—Opening house for sale during closing hours—Sunday Closing (Wales) Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 61), ss. 1, 2—Licensing Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 49), s. 9.—Saunders v. Thorney, Q.B.D., S.J. 451

1. Public health—Notice to provide sufficient water-closet—Validity of notice—Public Health Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 55), s. 36.—Wood v. Widnes (Mayor), AFF., W.R. 293
2. Public health—Nuisance—Black smoke—Notice—Public Health Act, 1875, s. 94.—Millard v. Wastall, Q.B.D., W.R. 268
3. Public health—Street—Charges for paving, &c.—Duty imposed by Act of Parliament—Memorial to Secretary of State—West Hartlepool Extension and Improvement Act, 1870 (38 & 39 Vict. c. exiii.), ss. 3, 69, 80, 82, 349, 350.—West Hartlepool (Mayor) v. Robinson, AFP., W.R. 218
4. Rating—Drainage board—Classification of properties in

[Bol

PROB

Sub

P.D.

PROI

PUBI

RAII

Rig

Vic

Q.B.

don 3

By

290

bri 235

W.

mi We 189

449

SET

001 Sei (i.)

 $E_8$ 

681

RO

SHI

CO. V. Pa

re

SOL

th

TU

32

8

2 oth

district according to amount of benefit received—Proportionate rating—Legality of.—Knight v. Langport Drainage Board, Q.B.D., S.J. 309; W.R. 392.

See also Charity, 1; Justices, 3; Poor Law, 3; Practice, 2

#### LUNACY-

1. Lunatic judgment debtor-Maintenance-Receiver-Execution

-Seizure by sheriff—Priority—Lunacy Act, 1890 (53 Vict. c. 5), ss. 116, 117.—Clarke, Re, LUNACY, S.J. 324; W.R. 337

2. Lunatic out of jurisdiction—Property within jurisdicton—Curator according to law of Jersey—Right to transfer—Discretion.

—Knight (Agnes Maria), Re, LUNACY, W.R. 289

#### MANDAMUS-

See Licensing Law, 3

### MARRIED WOMAN-

1. Protection order — Contract — Feme sole — Appointment—General power—Administration—Property liable to debts and liabilities—Matrimonial Causes Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. 85), ss. 21, 25, 26.—Hughes, Re, Brandon v. Hughes, CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., W.R. 220; APP., S.J. 412

2. Separate estate—Relief from restraint upon anticipation—Payment of husband's debts—Wife's right to indemnity—Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 39

Practice upon applications for relief under section 39.—Paget v. Paget, CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., W.R. 232; APP., S.J. 378.

3. Separate estate—Restraint on anticipation—Life interest determinable on condition—Bond fide but incorrect admission that condition had been fulfilled—Estoppel.—Bateman (Lady) v. Faber,

APP., W.R. 215
4. Will—Probate by husband—Assent to dispositions—Practice of Probate Division.—Atkinson, Re, Waller v. Atkinson, CH.D. STR-LING, J., S.J. 414
See also Vendor and Purchaser, 5

### MASTER and SERVANT-

1. Contract — Domestic service — Custom — Reasonableness Notice during first fortnight to determine service at end of first

month.—Moult v. Halliday, q.B.D., W.R. 318
2. Principal and agent—Responsibility of tramway company for acts of conductor.—Knight v. North Metropolitan Tramways Co., Q.B.D., S.J. 345

See also Contract, 4; Trades Union, 2

#### MAYOR'S COURT-

Costs—Action of libel—Less than £5 recovered—Jurisdiction to allow costs—Prohibition—Mayor's Court of London Procedure Act, 1857 (20 & 21 Vict. c. clvii.), s. 11—Mayor's Court Rules, 1890, r. 1; Schedule B-Mayor's Court Rules, 1892, ord. 8, r. 1.-Hall v. Launspach, APP., S.J. 251

### METROPOLIS-

1. Drain—Sewer—Liability to repair—Approval of vestry and Metropolitan Board of Works—Metropolis Management Act, 1855 (18 & 19 Vict. c. 120), ss. 68, 69, 74, 250—Metropolis Management Amendment Act, 1862 (25 & 26 Vict. c. 102), ss. 47, 49, 61.—St. Matthew, Bethnal Green, Vestry v. London School Board, H.L., W.R.

2. Sewer - Nuisance - Abatement by owner after notice Liability of sanitary authority for expenses incurred—Public Health (London) Act, 1891, ss. 4, 11.—Andrew v. St. Olave's Board of Works, Q.B.D., S.J. 381

of Works, Q.B.D., S.J. 381

3. Streets—Print distributed by way of advertisement—Metropolitan Streets Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. c. 134), s. 9.—Gage v. Braeley, Q.B.D., S.J. 380; W.R. 415

4. Subways—"Water company"—Company supply hydraulic motive power—Charges for use of subways—London County Council (Subways) Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. ccii).—London County Council v. London Hydraulic Power Co., APP., S.J. 362

### MORTGAGE.

1. Equitable interest—Duty of trustee to pay to mortgagee—Conveyancing Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 22, sub-section 1.—Hockey v. Western, APP., S.J. 232; W.R. 312

2. Equitable interest—Subsequent mortgage and settlement of equity of redemption—Notice—Priority.—West v. Williams, CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., W.R. 362

3. Principal not to be called in for three years if interest paid "punctually"—Payment within reasonable time after due date, whether "punctual"—Injunction to restrain sale by mortgagee.— Leeds Theatre of Varieties v. Broadbent, APP., W.B. 230 See also Company, 3, 7

### NEGLIGENCE-

Railway company—One company using the trucks of another.-Caledonian Bailway Co. v. Mulholland, H.L., W.R. 236

#### NUISANCE-

See Landlord and Tenant, 6; Local Government, 2; Metropolis, 2

#### PARTITION-

Sale in lieu of partition-Practice-Parties-Tenant of undivided moiety joined as defendant—Proper and necessary defendants—Partition Act, 1868 (31 & 32 Vict. c. 40), s. 4.—Mason v. Keays, APP., S.J. 233

#### PARTNERSHIP.

1. Deposit of deeds by partner to secure firm's overdraft—Death of partner—Devise of real estate—Locke King's Act (17 & 18 Vict. c. 113).—Ritson v. Ritson, CH.D. ROMER, J., S.J. 450

2. Dissolution—Sale of assets by one partner to the other—"Assets"—Goodwill—Right of vendor to canvass old customers.—
Jennings v. Jennings, CH.D. STIRLING, J., S.J. 234; W.B. 344 See also Arbitration

#### PATENT-

Infringement—Patent applicable to United Kingdom only—Infringing goods sent to England—Order from English purchaser.

—Badische Anilin Fabrik v. Basle Chemical Works, H.L., W.R.

### POOR LAW-

1. Rating — Exemption — Crown purposes — Part of police premises used by superintendent for residence.—Leicester County Councit v. Leicester Assessment Committee, q.B.D., S.J. 450

2. Rating—Market garden—Land covered with greenhouses—
"Agricultural land"—"Buildings or other hereditaments"—Liability of land covered with glass, being part of a market garden—Agricultural Rates Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 16), ss. 1, 5, 6, 9.—Smith v. Richmond, APP., S.J. 344; W.R. 401

3. Settlement—Divided parish—Local Government Act, 1894 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 73), ss. 1 (3), 67, 68.—Dorking Union v. St. Saviour's Union, APP., S.J. 252; W.R. 309

See Appointment, 1, 2; Married Woman, 1

#### PRACTICE-

1. Account action—Foreign currency—Rate of exchange-of judgment—Damages.—Manners v. Pearson, APP., S.J. 413

2. Costs—Action against corporation—Action for injunction—Public Authorities Protection Act, 1893 (56 & 57 Vict. c. 61), s. 1 (b).—Harrop v. Ossett (Mayor of), CH.D. ROMER, J., S.J. 365; W.R.

3. Discovery—Evidence—Production of documents—Privileged documents—Copies—Secondary evidence.—Calcraft v. Guest, APP.,

4. Evidence—Statement by accused—Admissibility of evidence.

—Rogers v. Hawken, q. B.D., S.J. 381

5. Lis pendens—Dismissal of action for specific performance—Order vacating registration—Lis Pendens Act, 1867 (30 & 31 Vict. Baxter v. Middleton, CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., S.J. 253; o. 47), s. 2.-W.R. 350

6. Nonsuit-Discontinuance -Ord. 26, r. 1.-Fox v. Star News-

paper Co., APP., S.J. 343; W.R. 340
7. Palatine Court—Order for attachment—Defendant out of jurisdiction—Court of Chancery of Lancaster Act, 1850, s. 15—Court of Chancery of Lancaster Act, 1854, s. 7—Order made order of High Court.—Dunmore v. Wharam, CH.D. BYRNE, J., S.J. 270;

8. Parties—Joinder of defendants—Joinder of separate causes of action against several defendants—Ord. 16, rr, 4, 5; ord. 18, r. 1.

action against several defendants—Ord. 16, rr, 4, 5; ord. 18, r. 1.

—Gower v. Couldridge, APP., W.R. 214

9. Staying proceedings—Frivolous and vexatious action—Action raising question decided in an interlocutory application in county court.—Stephenson v. Garnett, APP., W.R. 410

10. Writ—Service—Scotch defendant—Agreement for service within the jurisdiction—Ord. 9, r. 2; ord. 11, r. 1 (e).—Montgomery v. Liebenthal, APP., S.J. 232; W.R. 292

11. Writ—Service out of jurisdiction—Foreclosure action—Ord. 11, r. 1 (e) (g).—Deutsche National Bank v. Paul, CH.D. STELLING, J., W.R. 243

See also Company 14. Contract.

See also Company, 14; Contract, 3; County Court, 6; Partition

### PRINCIPAL and AGENT-

Authority of agent—Stockbroker's clerk—Agent to accept orders. Evidence of holding out—Liability of principal.—Spooner v. Browning, APP., W.R. 369

See also Master and Servant, 2

#### PROBATE.

tro-

rided nts-

eays,

eath Vict.

8.-

y-V.R.

olion

inty

Lia-

n-

9.-

894 St.

ate

R. ged

00.

ct. 3; 08-

of

1. on ty

ry

d.

ſ., 3: Limited power of appointment—Will in exercise of power—Subsequent wills—No clause of revocation.—Cadell v. Wilcocks, P.D. & AD.D., W.R. 394

See also Married Woman, 4

# PROMISSORY NOTE-

See Bill of Exchange.

#### PUBLIC HEALTH-

See Local Government, 1-3; Metropolis, 2

### RAILWAY COMPANY-

1. Constable travelling as inspector of weights and measures—Right to travel at reduced fare—Cheap Trains Act, 1883 (46 & 47 Vict. c. 34), s. 6.—Spencer v Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Co., c.B.D., S.J. 397

2. Contract-Carriage of goods-Order to deliver to persons

2. Contract—Carriage of goods—Order to deliver to persons other than original consignee—Cost of carriage—Novation.—London and South-Western Railway Co. v Bishop, Q.B.D., S.J. 255
3. Crossing of road—Penalty for not making substituted road—By whom recoverable—Road belonging to adjoining landowners—Railways Clauses Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), ss. 53, 54.—Llewellyn v Vale of Glamorgan Railway Co., APP., S.J. 251; W.R.

4. Footway—Railway across public footpath—Duty to build bridge—Railways Clauses Consolidation, Act, 1845 (8 & 9 Vict. c. 20), s. 46.—Dartford Council v. Bexley Heath Railway Co., H.L., W.R.

5. "House"-Lands Clauses Consolidation Act, 1845 (8 Vict. c. 8), s. 92.—Allhusen v. Ealing, &c, Railway Co., CH.D. STIRLING, J.,

W.R. 388; APP., S.J. 428

6. Rates and charges—Siding rent—"Difference" to be determined by an arbitrator—Jurisdiction of court—London and North-Western Railway Co. (Rates and Charges) Order Confirmation Act, 1891 (54 & 55 Vict. c. coxxi.), Schedule to Order, s. 5 (iv.).—London and North-Western Railway Co. v. Donnellan, APP., S.J.

See also Landlord and Tenant, 1; Negligence

### SETTLED LAND-

1. Compound settlement—Appointment of trustees of the compound settlement for the purposes of the Settled Land Acts—Settled Land Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 38), ss. 2 (1), 20 (1) (2) (i.) (ii.) (iii.)—Keck and Hart's Contract, Re, Ch.D. STIRLING, J., S.J. 380; W.R. 389

S.J. 380; W.R. 389
2. Improvement rent-charges—Reduction of interest—Redemption—Application of capital money—Authorized improvements—Settled Land Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 38), s. 25 (6) (20)—Settled Land Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 30), s. 1.—Verney's Settled Estates, Re, CH.D. KEKEWICH, J., S.J. 308; W.R. 348
3. Settlement—Estates settled in strict settlement—Incumbered estates settled on identical limitations—Discharge of incumbrances by a mortgage of both estates—Settled Land Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 38), s. 2, sub-section 1—Settled Land Act, 1890 (53 & 54 Vict. c. 69), s. 11.—Monson's (Lord) Settled Estates, Re, CH.D. ROMER, J., S.J. 289; W.R. 330

1. Bill of lading—Implied warranty—Fitness to carry particular cargo—Specie placed in bullion-room.—Queensland National Bank v. Peninsular and Oriental Steam Navigation Co., APP., W.R. 324
2. Bottomry—Necessaries — Bond on ship, freight, and cargo paid out of proceeds of ship and freight in priority to necessaries.—Chioggia, The, P.D. & AD.D., W.R. 253
3. Salvage—Service rendered by salvage vessels—Assessment of remuneration.—Glengyle, The, APP., S.J. 289; W.R. 308
See also Insurance, 1-4

1. Acting without authority for a shareholder—Discretion of court to order the solicitor to pay complainant's costs and those of the inquiry—Solicitors Act, 1888, s. 13.—Solicitor, A, Re, Incorporated Law Society, Exparte (No. 2), Q.B.D., S.J. 397

2. Costs—Charging order—"Property recovered or preserved"—Bankruptoy—Criminal proceedings under the Debtors Act, 1869—Order to trustee to prosecute bankrupt—Money found on bankrupt at time of arrest—Solicitors Act, 1860 (23 & 24 Vict. c. 127), a. 28.—Humphreys, Re, Lloyd-George, Exparte, Afr., S.J. 328; W.R. 322

3. Costs—Solicitors Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 68), s. 12.—
Sweeting, Re, CH.D. NORTH, J., W.R. 242
4. Executor—Will—Power to solicitor-executor to make pro-

fessional charges—Insolvent estate—Creditors' action—White, Re, Pennell v. Franklin, Oh.D. Kekewich, J., W.R. 247
5. Lien—Rightas against third party claiming inspection of documents—Administration action—Action commenced by executors of client—Creditor having conduct of action—Right to production—Lien acquired before commencement of action.—Hawkes, Re, Ackerman v. Lockhart, APP., S.J. 381
6. Misconduct—Instructions to act as solicitor for complainant—Improperly retained balance of a loan for his costs, without authority and before any bill of costs was delivered—Solicitors Act, 1888, s. 13.—Butler, Re, Q.B.D., S.J. 416
7. Striking off rolls—Solicitor struck off rolls by colonial court—Production of order by colonial court—Application to strike off upon such order—Sufficiency of ground for striking off.—Solicitor, A, Re, Incorporated Law Society, Ex parte, Q B.D., W.R. 303
See also Justices, 3

See also Justices, 3

1. False trade description—American bacon sold as "Scotch"
—Merchandize Marks Act, 1887 (50 & 51 Vict. c. 28), ss. 2, 5.—
Coppen v. Moore, Q.B.D., S.J. 397
2. "Invented word"—Word spelled differently from, but sounding same as, common English word—"Pirle"—Patents, Designs, and Trade-Marks Act, 1888 (51 & 52 Vict. c. 50), s. 10.—Ripley's
Trade-Mark, Re, APP., S.J. 363
3. Registration—Rough Side intention of page Necessity for Non-

3. Registration—Bond fide intention of user, Necessity for—Nonuser—Rectification of register.—Batt's Trade-Marks, Re, CH.D. BOMER, J., S.J. 430

### TRADES UNION-

1. Conspiracy—Strike—"Watching and besetting"—Employment of union funds—Conspiracy and Protection to Property Act, 1875 (38 & 39 Vict. c. 86), s. 7 (4).—Lyons v. Wilkins, CH.D. BYRNE, J., S.J. 253

2. Master and servant—Procuring dismissal of servant—Intention to injure—Malice—Motive—Cause of action.—Allen v. Flood, H.L., W.R. 258

Appropriation of trust funds to beneficiary—No express power to appropriate.—Nickels, Rs. Nickels v. Nickels, CH.D. STIRLING, J.,

to appropriate.—Nickes, Re., Action of improper investments—2. Breach of trust—Conversion of improper investments—Judicial Trustee Act, 1896 (59 & 60 Vict. c. 35), s. 3.—Barker, Re, Ravenshaw v. Barker, CH.D. NORTH, J., W.R. 296
3. Corporate trustee—Corporation dissolved—New trustee appointed—Trust for debenture-holders—Trustee Act, 1893, ss. 25, 26.—Hockley Hall Collieries, Re, CH.D. BYENE, J., S.J. 449

### VENDOR and PURCHASER-

1. Completion—Agreement to accept "best title the vendors can give"—Deeds deposited with mortgagees—Vendor's duty to hand over—Expense of obtaining—Conveyancing and Law of Property Act, 1881 (44 & 45 Vict. c. 41), s. 3, sub-section 6.—Duthy and Jesson's Contract, Re, CH.D. Romer, J., S.J. 269; W.R. 300

2. Conditions of sale—Right to rescind—Validity of vendor's notice to rescind—Matters of title—Matters of conveyance—Waiver of right to rescind.—Deighton and Harris's Contract, Re, APP., S.J. 324; W.R. 341

S.J. 324; W.R. 341
3. Interest—Repudiation of contract by vendors—Wilful default.—North v. Percival, Ch.D. KEKEWICH, J., S.J. 431
4. Interest on purchase-money—Default of vendor—Delay due to defective title—Imputation of knowledge of defect to vendor.

—Wood and Lewis's Contract, Re, Ch.D. ROMER, S.J. 325; W.R. 373
5. Mortgagee—Married woman—Conveyance to purchaser—Concurrence of husband—Acknowledgment.—Brooke and Fremlin's Contract, Re, Ch.D. REKEWICH, J., S.J. 431
6. Restrictive covenant—Conveyance of freehold—Restriction against user otherwise than as a private residence—Boarding-house.

—Hobson v. Tulloch, Ch.D. ROMER, J., S.J. 290; W.R. 331

#### VOLUNTEER-

See Army

#### WATER-

See Metropolis, 4

Absolute gift—Gift over of what is not disposed of—Construction—Repugnancy.—Jones, Re, Richards v. Jones, CH.D. BYRKE, J., S.J. 269; W.R. 313

- 2. Advancement—Payment of debt owing by husband of cestus que trust to trustee.—Molyneux v. Fletcher, Q.B.D., S.J. 254
  3. Construction—Additional or substitutional gift—Children of child who "shall die" in lifetime of testatrix.—Ridley, Re, Ridley v. Vaughan, APP., S.J. 307
  4. Construction—Erroneous recital of indebtedness—Legacy "in addition to sums owing."—Rowe, Re, Pike v. Hamlyn, APP., W.R. 357
- 5. Construction—Perpetuity—Cift of income to the children of A.—Cift over of capital to the children's children—Period of ascertaining class.—Powell, Re, Crossland v. Holliday, CH.D. EEEE-WICH, J., W.R. 231
  6. Leaseholds—Legal tenant for life—Remaindermen—Liability for rent and repairs.—Tomlinson, Re, Tomlinson v. Andrew, CH.D. KEREWICH, J., W.R. 299
  See also Married Woman, 4; Probate

n of lift.